

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/872,451	05/31/2001	Robert Lennie	PALM-3667	3020
49637	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C.			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
9255 SUNSET SUITE 810	BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ES, CA 90069		2154	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	09/872,451	LENNIE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Section 15 Section 15 Section 15 Section 15 Section 16 Section	action is non-final. see except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection is objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection is objection in the objection is objection to the objection in the objection in the objection is objection to the objection in the objection in the objection is objection in the objection in the objection is objection in the objection in	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-28 and 30-33 are presented for examination. Claim 29 has been cancelled.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/15/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2154

- 4. Claims 1-28 and 30-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (6,850,757) (hereinafter Watanabe) in view of Mousseau et al. (US PUB 2002/0120696) (hereinafter Mousseau).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 27, Watanabe discloses A communication system and method comprising: a mail server 102, fig 1), coupled to the Internet (104, fig 1) for storing an electronic mail message received over the Internet and for generating a copy of said electronic mail message (Fig 1, col 2, lines 24-46);

a notifications server (col 2, lines 24-36), coupled to said mail server (col 2, lines 24-36),

wherein said notifications server (col 2, lines 24-60) is for automatically generating (col 2, lines 24-60), therefrom, a subset of said received copy of said electronic mail message (col 5, lines 6-31); and

wherein said notifications server (col 2, lines 24-60), upon generation of said subset (col 5, lines 6-31), is for wirelessly transmitting (col 1, lines 5-10) said subset (col 3, lines 33-35) to an identified wireless electronic device (col 5, lines 6-31) associated with a user that is the recipient of said received electronic mail message (cellular phone, col 5, lines 6-39). Watanabe is silent about having a standard electronic mail protocol for receiving said copy of said electronic mail message as a standard formatted

Application/Control Number: 09/872,451

Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

electronic mail messages. However, *Simple Mail Transfer*, a protocol for sending e-mail messages between servers; Most e-mail systems that send mail over the Internet use SMTP to send messages from one server to another; the messages can then be retrieved with an e-mail client using either POP or IMAP; In addition, SMTP is generally used to send messages from a mail client to a mail server; SMTP, POP, or IMAP e-mail server are known in the art. For example, Mousseau discloses having a standard electronic mail protocol for receiving said copy of said electronic mail message as a standard formatted electronic mail messages. (page 6, paragraph #0062; page 9, paragraph 0084). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe and Mousseau. The motivation would have been developing system for pushing/notifying from a host system to user's mobile device based on the user-defined events.

6. As per claim 14, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses a plurality of wireless electronic devices operable to communicate over a wireless communication network (106, fig 1, col 2, lines 24-60).

Art Unit: 2154

7. As per claims 2, 15, and 28, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses said identified wireless electronic device is operable to display received subsets to a user LCD display, (col 5, lines 29-31).

- 8. As per claims 3, and 16, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses said identified wireless electronic device is operable to allow said user to select a particular received subset and wherein said notifications server is also for transmitting the remainder of an electronic mail message corresponding to said particular received subset in response to the selection thereof by said identified wireless electronic device (col 5, lines 45-67; col 6, lines 18-43).
- 9. As per claims 4 and 17, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses said subset is a notification message comprising a sender's identification and a subject field of said received electronic mail message (page 8, paragraph #0076).
- 10. As per claims 5 and 18, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses said identified wireless

Art Unit: 2154

electronic device is a handheld computer system having wirelessly enabled (fig 3, col 3,lines 28-36).

- 11. As per claims 6, 19, and 31, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses wherein said notifications server comprises a user information database for providing a mapping between wireless electronic devices and their associated electronic mail addresses (fig 3, col 3, lines 28-36).
- 12. As per claims 7, 20 and 32, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses a push packet (is used by said notifications server to wirelessly transmit said subset to said identified wireless electronic device (col 5, lines 22-28).
- 13. As per claims 8 and 21, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses said subset is wirelessly transmitted using a wireless LAN communication network (elements of fig 1).

Art Unit: 2154

14. As per claims 9 and 22, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses, using a Bluetooth wireless communication network (page 22, paragraph #0174).

- 15. As per claims 10 and 23, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses using a Mobitex wireless communication network (page 21, paragraph #0164).
- 16. As per claims 11, 24, and 33, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses said standard electronic mail protocol is substantially compliant with the SMTP protocol (page 9, paragraph #0084).
- 17. As per claims 12 and 25, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses POP protocol (page 9, paragraph #0084).
- 18. As per claims 13 and 26, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Mousseau discloses IMAP protocol (page 9, paragraph #0084).

Art Unit: 2154

19. As per claim 30, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Watanabe discloses said identified wireless electronic device allowing said user to select a particular received notification message (col 5, lines 45-67; col 6, lines 18-43); and

said notifications server transmitting the remainder of an electronic mail message corresponding to said particular received notification message in response to said user selecting said particular received notification message (col 5, lines 45-67; col 6, lines 18-43).

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 and 30-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/872,451

Art Unit: 2154

3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

Page 9